# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISIO

# THE UNITED STATES OF AMERI

VS.

JOHN GERINGER, CHRISTOPHER LUCK and KEITH RODE

COUNT ONE: 18 U.S.C. § 1349-Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud

COUNTS TWO through THIRTEEN: 18 U.S.C. § 1341-Mail Fraud

COUNTS FOURTEEN through TWENTY SIX: 18 U.S.C. § 1343-Wire Fraud

COUNT TWENTY SEVEN: 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78ff; 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5 and 240.10b5-2; 18 U.S.C. § 2-Securities Fraud

COUNTS TWENTY through THIRTY EIGHT: 18 U.S.C. § 1957(a)-Engaging in Monetary Transaction in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity

A true bill. Foreperson

Filed in open court this <u>GTH</u> day of 2 A.D. 2012

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Summers for each defenda

Bail. \$

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DEC 2 2012

NORTHERN DIS DIV WIERING SAN JOSE CAUSTING
SAN JOSE CA

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN GERINGER, CHRISTOPHER LUCK, and KEITH RODE,

Defendants.

CR-12 00888

#### **VIOLATIONS:**

18 U.S.C. § 1349 (Conspiracy); 18 U.S.C. § 1341 (Mail Fraud); 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Fraud); 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78ff, 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5 and 240.10b5-2, 18 U.S.C. § 2 (Securities Fraud); 18 U.S.C. § 1957(a) (Money Laundering); 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(1) (Forfeiture)

SAN JOSE VENUE

#### INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges that, at all relevant times:

#### Relevant Entities and Individuals

- 1. Geringer, Luck & Rode, LLC ("GLR LLC") was a California investment corporation located in Scotts Valley, California. GLR LLC, established in 2003, purported to engage in the business of investment, insurance, and tax-consultation services.
  - 2. JOHN GERINGER ("GERINGER") was a resident of Scotts Valley, and worked

as a licensed securities trader and investment advisor. GERINGER served as the managing partner at GLR LLC.

- 3. CHRISTOPHER LUCK ("LUCK") was a resident of Scotts Valley, California, and was a partner at GLR LLC. Luck also served as Chairman of the Board of two private companies: Digital Delivery Networks, Inc. and MediaTile.
- 4. KEITH RODE ("RODE") was a resident of Scotts Valley, California, and Franklin, Wisconsin. RODE worked as a Certified Public Accountant, and was a partner at GLR LLC.
- 5. GLR Capital Management, LLC ("GLR CAPITAL") was a California limited liability company, based in Scotts Valley. GERINGER was the managing member of GLR CAPITAL.
- 6. GLR Growth Fund, LP ("FUND") was a California limited partnership formed in 2003 and based in Scotts Valley. The FUND's limited partnership agreement provided that GLR CAPITAL, the FUND's general partner, had control over the FUND's affairs, and the limited partners the FUND's investors had no role in the business of the FUND. GLR CAPITAL made investments on behalf of private investors through the FUND.
- 7. GLR Advisors was a California company, based in Scotts Valley. GERINGER established GLR Advisors, and was its managing member.
- 8. Digital Delivery Networks, Inc. ("DDNI") and MediaTile were two private companies located in Scotts Valley, California. The FUND was the primary investor in both companies.
- 9. Electronic wire transfers from Fremont Bank, Santa Cruz County Bank, Bank of New York, Wells Fargo Bank, Bank of America, IAG Federal Credit Union, Santa Cruz Community Credit Union, and U.S. Bank all utilized a domestic electronic funds transfer system known as the Fedwire system, which is owned and operated by the United States Federal Reserve System. All Fedwire wires were electronically routed through Fedwire offices in East Rutherford, New Jersey.

### The Business of GLR CAPITAL and the FUND

- 10. GLR CAPITAL managed the FUND. Between 2009 and 2011, marketing materials created by GERINGER and distributed to investors represented that the FUND was able to achieve consistent annual returns of between 17 and 25 percent between the years 2001 through 2011. In these marketing materials, the FUND represented to investors that 75% of its investments were made in publicly traded securities, options, and commodities.
- 11. FUND marketing materials informed investors that it received investments for one-year periods, at the end of which the investor could either request to withdraw from the FUND or rollover the investment for another one-year period. The FUND informed investors that they would be charged a 4% management fee.
- 12. Between 2003 and 2012, FUND marketing materials represented the following asset allocations: 20% in S&P 100; 20% in S&P 500 Index; 20% in NASDAQ; 15% in Dow Jones 30; and 25% in "Direct Company Investments" that was defined as "Natural Gas, Oil Public and Private Companies, Software, Semiconductor Telecommunications Related."
- 13. Between 2003 and 2012, FUND marketing materials represented the following positive performance history: 2001 (+25.28%); 2002 (+25.12%); 2003 (+20.36%); 2004 (+20.08%); 2005 (+20.19%); 2006 (+22.31%); 2007 (+23.56%); and 2008 (+23.53%).

#### The Scheme to Defraud

- engaged in a scheme, plan and artifice to defraud investors, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, although GERINGER's participation in this scheme began in or about 2006, through two principal methods: (A) making materially false statements; and (B) failing to disclose material facts. Investors entrusted GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE with tens of millions of dollars, but GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE failed to invest nearly all of those funds in the manner promised, and converted millions of dollars of the investors' money to their personal benefit.
  - 15. GERINGER, beginning in 2006, and LUCK and RODE, beginning in 2009,

 made false and misleading statements to investors using, among other things, false marketing materials, false financial statements, false brokerage account statements, and misleading written and verbal communications with investors. GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE created the false and misleading appearance that the investors' funds were primarily invested in publicly traded securities, which offered diverse and secure investments with high returns.

- 16. In truth, as GERINGER knew in 2006, and LUCK and RODE knew beginning in about 2009, most of the investment monies were not invested in publicly traded securities, but rather in two private startup companies MediaTile and DDNI. Despite knowing this, GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE continued to represent to investors that the FUND invested in publicly traded securities.
- 17. In order to continue to divert funds to private startup companies, in 2009, GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE began to pay existing investors with new investors' funds rather than with income generated by equity trading.
- 18. It was a part of the scheme to defraud that, among other conduct, GERINGER, beginning in 2006, and LUCK and RODE beginning in 2009:
- (A) represented to investors in marketing materials that the FUND achieved steady annual returns of between 17 and 25 percent from 2001 to 2011, when in truth, GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE knew that such information was materially overstated;
- (B) represented to investors in marketing materials that their money would be invested in well-known stock indices such as the S&P 500, NASDAQ, and Dow Jones, when in truth, GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE improperly diverted investor funds to two private companies MediaTile and DDNI or to pay back other investors;
- (C) represented to investors in marketing materials that the FUND had positive annual returns in 2001 and 2002, when in truth, GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE knew the FUND was not established until 2003;
- (D) deceived investors by creating fraudulent and misleading periodic investor account statements purporting to show that the FUND continued to trade securities, when in

truth, GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE knew that by mid-2009 the FUND was no longer trading securities at all;

- (E) deceived investors by creating the fraudulent and misleading impression that their investments had been rolled-over as requested for a new one-year period, when in truth, GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE spent the investors' money to fund private startup companies or to pay back other investors;
- (F) deceived investors by falsifying brokerage account statements to make it appear to investors that the FUND's brokerage trading account was successful, when in truth GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE knew the FUND's trading produced negative returns in every year from 2005 to 2009;
- (G) deceived investors by continuing to send to them, by mail, periodic account statements, which lulled investors into a false sense of security by creating the appearance that the FUND was investing as promised in a diverse array of equities, when in truth, as GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE knew, most of the investment monies were directed towards two private startup companies or to pay back other investors;
- (H) misrepresented to FUND investors material information about the financial performance of the FUND's brokerage accounts;
- (I) misrepresented to FUND investors in written materials that the FUND was "Member NASD and SEC Approved," when in truth, as GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE knew, the FUND was neither; and
- (J) misrepresented to FUND investors that the FUND was independently audited, when in truth, as GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE knew, the FUND was never independently audited;
- 19. By 2012, as part of their fraudulent scheme, GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE had solicited over \$60,000,000 from nearly ninety investors.
- COUNT ONE: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 (Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud)
  - 20. Paragraphs 1 through 19 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

21. From in or about 2009 through in or about 2012, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,

#### JOHN GERINGER, CHRISTOPHER LUCK, and KEITH RODE,

and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and intentionally conspire and agree together and with each other to commit offenses against the United States, to wit, (a) mail fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, and (b) wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343 by devising a scheme and artifice to obtain money by means of false and fraudulent representations, specifically by soliciting investments under the false and fraudulent pretense that the solicited funds would be invested in diversified equity trading.

### The Means and Methods of the Conspiracy

- 22. Among the means and methods by which GERINGER, LUCK, and RODE carried out the conspiracy to defraud investors were the following:
- (A) mailing and otherwise delivering to investors the marketing materials, and other documents that contained materially false information;
- (B) transmitting, and causing to be transmitted, wire transfers diverting investor funds for unauthorized expenditures, such as investments in MediaTile and DDNI and personal use;
- (C) mailing to investors deceptive and misleading account statements, which created the appearance that their investments were performing and their principal was safe;
- (D) paying interest and/or principal withdrawals to investors that were funded in material part by new investor money;
- (E) providing false tax and financial information to investors in order to lull investors into a false sense of security regarding the safety of their investments;
- (F) making, at meetings with new potential investors, materially false and misleading statements and omitting to disclose material information; and

2 3

4 5

> 6 7

8 9

11

10

12

13

14 1.5

17

16

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

INDICTMENT

(G) paying themselves millions of dollars through various forms of compensation. All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.

### COUNTS TWO THROUGH THIRTEEN: 18 U.S.C. § 1341 (Mail Fraud)

- Paragraphs 1 through 19 and 22 are realleged as if fully set forth herein. 23.
- On or about the dates set forth below, in the Northern District of California and 24. elsewhere, the defendants,

#### JOHN GERINGER, CHRISTOPHER LUCK, and KEITH RODE.

for the purpose of executing the above-described scheme to defraud and attempting to do so, by means of false and fraudulent material representations, sent and caused to be sent through the United States Postal Service, the items indicated below, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341:

COUNT	DATE	ITEM MAILED
2	4/27/2011	Investor L.B.'s GLR Interest Statement
3	7/27/2011	Investor L.B.'s GLR Interest Statement
4	10/27/2011	Investor L.B.'s GLR Interest Statement
5	4/22/2012	Investor L.B.'s GLR Interest Statement
6	7/27/2009	Investor S.G.'s GLR Interest Statement
7	4/27/2010	Investor S.G.'s GLR Interest Statement
8	5/25/2010	Investor S.G.'s GLR Interest Statement
9	7/27/2010	Investor S.G.'s GLR Interest Statement
10	7/27/2010	Investor J.S-J's GLR Interest Statement
11	4/27/2011	Investor J.S-J's GLR Interest Statement
12	7/27/2011	Investor J.S-J's GLR Interest Statement
13	10/27/2011	Investor J.S-J's GLR Interest Statement

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341.

### COUNTS FOURTEEN THROUGH TWENTY-SIX: 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Fraud)

Paragraphs 1 through 19 and 22 are realleged as if fully set forth herein. 25.

26. On or about the dates set forth below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,

#### JOHN GERINGER, CHRISTOPHER LUCK, and KEITH RODE,

for the purpose of executing said scheme and artifice to defraud, and for obtaining money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire communication in interstate commerce certain writings, signs, signals, and pictures, that is, electronic funds transfers and payments from investor bank accounts to the FUND, as further set forth below, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343:

COUNT	DATE	ITEM WIRED	WIRED FROM	WIRED TO
14	3/23/2011	\$342,176.29	Investor L.B. 's Fremont Bank bank account in San Carlos, California	Santa Cruz County Bank in Scotts Valley, California
15	7/23/2009	\$250,000	Investor P.B.'s Bank of New York bank account in Denver, Colorado	Santa Cruz County Bank in Scotts Valley, California
16	5/20/2011	\$99,933.14	Investor R.D.'s Fremont Bank bank account in San Carlos, California	Santa Cruz County Bank in Scotts Valley, California
17	5/22/2009	\$330,000	Investor S.G.'s Bank of New York bank account in Denver, Colorado	Santa Cruz County Bank in Scotts Valley, California
18	5/22/2009	\$300,000	Investor J.L.'s Bank of New York bank account in Denver, Colorado	Santa Cruz County Bank in Scotts Valley, California
19	2/26/2009	\$167,000	Investor <i>C.L.</i> 's Bank of New York bank account in Denver,	Santa Cruz County Bank

#### Case5:12-cr-00888-DLJ Document1 Filed12/20/12 Page10 of 21

			Colorado	in Scotts Valley, California
20	12/5/2011	\$148,690	Investor C.P.'s Fremont Bank bank account in San Carlos, California	Santa Cruz County Bank in Scotts Valley, California
21	4/27/2011	\$30,000	Investor A.P.'s IAG Federal Credit Union bank account in Rye, New York	Santa Cruz County Bank in Scotts Valley, California
22	4/27/2011	\$73,000	Investor L.P.'s Santa Cruz Community Credit Union bank account in Santa Cruz, California	Santa Cruz County Bank in Scotts Valley, California
23	6/8/2010	\$222,483.95	Investor K. S-J's Fremont Bank bank account in San Carlos, California	Santa Cruz County Bank in Scotts Valley, California
24	6/9/2011	\$818,296.87	Investor J.S.'s Fremont Bank bank account in San Carlos, California	Santa Cruz County Bank in Scotts Valley, California
25	1/26/2012	\$249,480	Investor M.W.'s Fremont Bank bank account in San Carlos, California	Santa Cruz County Bank in Scotts Valley, California
26	7/13/2010	\$94,871.90	Investor G.W.'s U.S. Bank bank account in St. Louis, Missouri	Santa Cruz County Bank in Scotts Valley, California

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

COUNT TWENTY-SEVEN: 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78ff; 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5 and 240.10b5-2; 18 U.S.C. § 2 (Securities Fraud)

27. Paragraphs 1 through 19 and 22 are realleged as if fully set forth herein.

#### INDICTMENT

INDICTMENT

28. On or about 2009 through 2012, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,

#### JOHN GERINGER, CHRISTOPHER LUCK, and KEITH RODE,

willfully and knowingly, directly and indirectly, by use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, the mails, and the facilities of national securities exchanges, in connection with the purchase and sale of securities, did use and employ manipulative and deceptive devices and contrivances, and aided and abetted others in using and employing manipulative and deceptive devices and contrivances, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff, and Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 240.10b-5 and 240.10b5-2, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2, by (a) employing devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (b) making untrue statements of material facts and failing to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (c) engaging in acts, practices, and courses of business which operated and would operate as a fraud and deceit upon persons, specifically, by telling investors or allowing investors to believe that their investment into the FUND would be used to purchase equities traded on the New York Stock Exchange or NASDAQ when, in fact, the defendants knew no such investments would be made.

All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff; Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 240.10b-5 and 240.10b5-2; and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

<u>COUNTS TWENTY-EIGHT THROUGH THIRTY-ONE</u>: (18 U.S.C. § 1957(a) - Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity)

- 29. Paragraphs 1 through 19 and 22 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth here.
- 30. On or about the dates listed below, in the Northern District of California, and elsewhere, the defendant,

#### JOHN GERINGER,

did knowingly engage in a monetary transaction by, through, and to a financial institution, affecting interstate commerce, in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000, said property having in fact been derived from specified unlawful activity, namely, mail fraud, wire fraud, and securities fraud:

Count	<u>Date</u>	Amount	<u>Transaction</u>
28	6/18/2009	\$12,500	Electronic Funds Transfer to John GERINGER (d/b/a GLR Advisors)
29	7/27/2009	\$12,500	Electronic Funds Transfer to John GERINGER (d/b/a GLR Advisors)
30	9/8/2009	\$12,500	Electronic Funds Transfer to John GERINGER (d/b/a GLR Advisors)
31	10/30/2009	\$12,500	Electronic Funds Transfer to John GERINGER (d/b/a GLR Advisors)

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957.

COUNTS THIRTY-TWO THROUGH THIRTY-FIVE: (18 U.S.C. § 1957(a) - Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity)

- 29. Paragraphs 1 through 19 and 22 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth here.
- 30. On or about the dates listed below, in the Northern District of California, and elsewhere, the defendant,

#### CHRISTOPHER LUCK,

did knowingly engage in a monetary transaction by, through, and to a financial institution, affecting interstate commerce, in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000, said property having in fact been derived from specified unlawful activity, namely, mail fraud, wire fraud, and securities fraud:

-
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

<u>Count</u>	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Transaction
32	6/18/2009	\$15,000	Electronic Funds Transfer to Christopher LUCK
33	7/27/2009	\$15,000	Electronic Funds Transfer to Christopher LUCK
34	9/8/2009	\$15,000	Electronic Funds Transfer to Christopher LUCK
35	10/29/2009	\$15,000	Electronic Funds Transfer to Christopher LUCK

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957.

COUNTS THIRTY-SIX THROUGH THIRTY-EIGHT: (18 U.S.C. § 1957(a) - Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity)

- 29. Paragraphs 1 through 19 and 22 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth here.
- 30. On or about the dates listed below, in the Northern District of California, and elsewhere, the defendant,

#### KEITH RODE,

did knowingly engage in a monetary transaction by, through, and to a financial institution, affecting interstate commerce, in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000, said property having in fact been derived from specified unlawful activity, namely, mail fraud, wire fraud, and securities fraud:

Count	<u>Date</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Transaction
36	12/17/2010	\$16,000	Electronic Funds Transfer to Keith
			RODE
37	2/2/2011	\$16,000	Electronic Funds Transfer to Keith
			RODE
38	3/7/2011	\$16,000	Electronic Funds Transfer to Keith
			RODE

#### INDICTMENT

	li
1	
2	]
3	
4	
5	;
6	
7	
8	1
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: 18 U.S.C. §981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. §2461(c) (Forfeiture)

- 31. Paragraphs 1 through 19 and 22 are realleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 32. Upon a conviction of any of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Twenty-Seven, the defendants,

#### JOHN GERINGER, CHRISTOPHER LUCK, and KEITH RODE,

shall forfeit to the United States all property, constituting and derived from proceeds traceable to violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341 (Mail Fraud), Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343 (Wire Fraud), Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff; and Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 240.10b-5 and 240.10b5-2 (Securities Fraud); as alleged in Counts One through Twenty-Seven of this Indictment.

33. Upon a conviction of any of the offenses alleged in Counts Twenty-Eight through Thirty-Eight, the defendants,

#### JOHN GERINGER, CHRISTOPHER LUCK, and KEITH RODE,

shall forfeit to the United States all property, real or personal, involved in a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957, and property traceable thereto, as alleged in Counts Twenty-Eight through Thirty-Eight of this Indictment, including but not limited to any proceeds from said violations, and any property which facilitated said violations.

- 34. If any of the forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of GERINGER, LUCK, or RODE:
  - (A) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
  - (B) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
  - (C) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
  - (D) has been substantially diminished in value; or

has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without (E) 1. difficulty: 2 it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p) (as 3 incorporated in Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b) and Title 28, United States Code, 4 Section 2461(c)), to seek forfeiture of any other property of said defendants up to the value of the 5 forfeitable property described above. 6 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341 (Mail Fraud), Title 18, 7 United States Code, Section 1343 (Wire Fraud), Title 15, United States Code, Section 78j(b) and 8 78ff; Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 240.10b-5 and 240.10b5-2 (Securities 9 Fraud); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957 (Money Laundering); Title 18, United States 10 Code Section 981(a)(1)(C), 982(a)(1); and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c). 11 12 A TRUE BILL 13 14 15 **FOREPERSON** 16 **MELINDA HAAG** 17 United States Attorney 18 19 Deputy Chief, San Jose Branch Office 21 22 Approved as to form: 23 24 25 JEKF SCHENK 26 Assistant United States Attorney 27

14

28

Case5:12-cr-00888-DLJ Ploiment1 Filed12/30/0 Sug 6 6 21 DLJ

	1 N 0000
DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY:   COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDING	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18 U.S.C. § 1349 (Conspiracy); 18 U.S.C. § 1341 (Mail Fraud); Petty	SAN JOSE DIVERNA
18 U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Fraud); 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78ff, 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5 and 240.10b5-2, 18 U.S.C. § 2	C DEFENDANT - U.S
(Securities Fraud); 18 U.S.C. § 1957(a) (Money Laundering);	
18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), 18 U.S.C. § 982(a) means (1) (Forfeiture)	OF TOAN GERINGER
▼ Felony	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER DIST. DISTRICT
PENALTY: See Attachment	SAN JOSE CAURT
	E TLIFORNIA
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1)   X   If not detained give date any prior
FBI	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2)
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
Ali:	
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IO IN CUSTODY
	IS IN CUSTODY
this is a reprosecution of	4) On this charge
charges previously dismissed	5) On another conviction
which were dismissed on motion of:	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a	Has detainer Yes 1 If "Yes"
pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE	arive date
CASE NO.	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	ARREST
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form  MELINDA HAAG	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
▼ U.S. Attorney	
Name of Assistant U.S.	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
Attorney (if assigned) JEFF SCHENK	
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS
	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following:	
Arraignment X Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address: c/o Wm. Michael Whelan, Esq.	
Go Will Interact Price Lay	Date/Time: 1/17/13 at 1:30 PM Before Judge: Howard R. Lloyd
Comments:	

# Maximum Penalties for U.S. v. JOHN GERINGER

### Count One - 18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud

20 years imprisonment \$250,000 fine, or twice the gross gain or gross loss from the offense 3 years supervised release \$100 special assessment

#### Counts Two through Thirteen - 18 U.S.C. § 1341 - Mail Fraud

20 years imprisonment \$250,000 fine, or twice the gross gain or gross loss from the offense 3 years supervised release \$100 special assessment

#### Counts Fourteen through Twenty-Six – 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud

20 years imprisonment \$250,000 fine, or twice the gross gain or gross loss from the offense 3 years supervised release \$100 special assessment

Count Twenty-Seven – 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78ff; 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5 and 240.10b5-2; 18 U.S.C. § 2 – Securities Fraud

20 years imprisonment \$5,000,000 fine 3 years supervised release \$100 special assessment

Counts Twenty-Eight through Thirty-One – 18 U.S.C. § 1957(a) – Engaging in Monetary Transaction in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity

10 years imprisonment \$250,000 fine, or twice the amount of the criminally derived property involved in the transaction 3 years supervised release \$100 special assessment



AO 257 (Rev. 6/78)

	The state of the s
DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDING	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
□ <b>.</b>	SAN JOSE DIAGON
18 U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Fraud); 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78ff,	DEFENDANT HE
(Socurities Fraud): 18 H S C 6 1957(a) (Money Laundering):	DEFENDANT - U.S
18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), 18 U.S.C. § 982(a) (1) (Forfeiture) Misdemeano	Δ
	DISTRICT COURT NUMBERS OF WAR
PENALTY: See Attachment	DISTRICT COOK! NOWING WAS STATED TO THE STATE OF THE STAT
	(R) 2 (1) (8) 8
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	1) X If not detained give date any prior
FBI	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	2) 🔲 Is a Fugitive
give name of court	
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed	5) On another conviction
which were dismissed on motion SHOW	Federal State
OI	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a	If IVool
pending case involving this same	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date
defendant MAGISTRATE  CASE NO.	been filed? No filed
prior proceedings or appearance(s)	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not  DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year
lame and Office of Person  Furnishing Information on this form  MELINDA HAAG	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
✓ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S.	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
Attorney (if assigned)  JEFF SCHENK	
	FORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
PROCESS:	
SUMMONS ON PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following:  Arraignment   X   Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
c/o Jahan Raissi, Esq.	
	Date/Time: 1/17/13 at 1:30 PM Before Judge: Howard R. Lloyd
Comments:	60

# Maximum Penalties for U.S. v. CHRISTOPHER LUCK

#### Count One - 18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud

20 years imprisonment \$250,000 fine, or twice the gross gain or gross loss from the offense 3 years supervised release \$100 special assessment

#### Counts Two through Thirteen - 18 U.S.C. § 1341 - Mail Fraud

20 years imprisonment \$250,000 fine, or twice the gross gain or gross loss from the offense 3 years supervised release \$100 special assessment

#### Counts Fourteen through Twenty-Six – 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud

20 years imprisonment \$250,000 fine, or twice the gross gain or gross loss from the offense 3 years supervised release \$100 special assessment

# <u>Count Twenty-Seven</u> – 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78ff; 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5 and 240.10b5-2; 18 U.S.C. § 2 – Securities Fraud

20 years imprisonment \$5,000,000 fine 3 years supervised release \$100 special assessment

# Counts Thirty-Two Through Thirty-Five – 18 U.S.C. § 1957(a) – Engaging in Monetary Transaction in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity

10 years imprisonment \$250,000 fine, or twice the amount of the criminally derived property involved in the transaction 3 years supervised release \$100 special assessment AO 257 (Rev. 6/78)

DEENDANT INCODMATION DELATIVE TO	A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: ☐ COMPLAINT ☐ INFORMATION ☒ INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistate/Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDING	
18 U.S.C. § 1349 (Conspiracy); 18 U.S.C. § 1341 (Mail Fraud); Petty	SAN JOSE DIVISION
18 U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Fraud); 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78ff, 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5 and 240.10b5-2, 18 U.S.C. § 2 Minor	DEFENDANT - U.S
(Securities Fraud); 18 U.S.C. § 1957(a) (Money Laundering);	
18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), 18 U.S.C. § 982(a) meano	KEITH RODE
▼ Felony	DETRICT COURT NUMBER
PENALTY: See Attachment	UKIZ MMRRQ
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding
	1) X If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
FBI	
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Son Bail or Release from (show District)
	Laurel Laurel
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	
per (circle one) i Norp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of	
charges previously dismissed SHOW which were dismissed on motion	5) On another conviction Federal State
of: DOCKET NO.	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this researchion relates to a	
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date
defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	been filed? No filed
prior proceedings or appearance(s)	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	ARREST '
dejeridant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form MELINDA HAAG	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
✓ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S.	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
Attorney (if assigned)  JEFF SCHENK	—
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS —
PROCESS: 	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following:	Main / Mittourite
☐ X Arraignment X Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
c/o Mark Arnold, Esq.	Date/Time: 1/17/13 at 1:30 PM Before Judge: Howard R. Lloyd
	* A
Comments:	$\Lambda \Lambda$

# Maximum Penalties for U.S. v. KEITH RODE

### Count One - 18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud

20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine, or twice the gross gain or gross loss from the offense

3 years supervised release

\$100 special assessment

# Counts Two through Thirteen - 18 U.S.C. § 1341 - Mail Fraud

20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine, or twice the gross gain or gross loss from the offense

3 years supervised release

\$100 special assessment

### Counts Fourteen through Twenty-Six - 18 U.S.C. § 1343 - Wire Fraud

20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine, or twice the gross gain or gross loss from the offense

3 years supervised release

\$100 special assessment

# Count Twenty-Seven – 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78ff; 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5 and 240.10b5-2; 18 U.S.C. § 2 – Securities Fraud

20 years imprisonment

\$5,000,000 fine

3 years supervised release

\$100 special assessment

# Counts Thirty-Six Through Thirty-Eight – 18 U.S.C. § 1957(a) – Engaging in Monetary Transaction in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity

10 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine, or twice the amount of the criminally derived property involved in the transaction

3 years supervised release

\$100 special assessment